

## \*2↓ (=No Two Low)

Noam Faust (université paris 8, CNRS SFL) Nicola Lampitelli (Université de Tours, CNRS LLL)

This talk provides an account of several related processes in Tigrinya (1).<sup>1</sup> The IMP.M is usually of the form C<sub>1</sub>iC<sub>2</sub>əC<sub>3</sub> (1a.i; [i] is epenthetic). However, when either C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub> is a guttural (G), the vowel [ə] alternates with [a]. Secondly, if C<sub>3</sub> is a G, the same vowel is syncopated upon suffixation (1c.ii). Thirdly, the vowels [i] and [ə] harmonize with the following vowel across a G (1b.i and 1b.iii resp.). Other vowels, like /i/ in (1c.iii) do not. Note, too, that the underlying /ə/ before the G in (1b.iii) does not syncopate like its counterpart in (1c.ii).

(1)	i.IMP.M	ii.IMP.F	iii.PASS.GER.PST
a. √grf ‘whip’	gɪrəf	gɪrəf-i	tɪ-gərɪf-u
b. √shb ‘pull’	sahab	sahab-i	tɪ-sɪhib-u
c. √smɿ ‘hear’	sɪmaɿ	sɪmɿ-i	tɪ-səmiɿ-u

Rose & Walker (2015) analyze the facts in (1b) as resulting from a requirement that the two vowels flanking a G be identical. However, they do not account for the syncope in

(1c.ii), and they do not explain the violation of this requirement in (1c.iii). While the latter can be explained by a high ranked faithfulness to high vowels, such an explanation misses a crucial point: it seems that there is something especially vulnerable about the specific vowels /ə/ and /i/, but only before a G. Our analysis brings these facts to the front.

We submit that all these alternations stem from a rule “No two low”, which dissociates the first of two A elements in a row from its skeletal position and fuses it with the following A (everything except green line in (2)). This creates a situation of *multiple correspondence*,

(2)	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>	=>	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>
				⋮	
	x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>		x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>

whereby the melody lexically associated to x<sub>1</sub> is realized by x<sub>2</sub>. Because of this, if x<sub>1</sub> is a governed V (in the strict CV sense, Lowenstamm 1996), it will then remain empty: /smaɿ/ => [sɪmɿ] (1c.ii). If x<sub>1</sub> is an ungoverned V, or if it is a consonant,

it must be realized, in which case it is associated to the fused A<sub>1</sub>+A<sub>2</sub> melody (dotted green line in (2)). Assuming that [ə]=A, and [a]=A+A (Faust 2017), this results in the lowering of /ə/ before or after a G: /sməɿ/ => [sɪmaɿ] (1c.i), and /shəb/ = [sahab] (1b.i,ii). Importantly, A<sub>2</sub> is never dissociated; accordingly, it never syncopates, even if it is a governed vowel, as in [sahabi] (1b.ii).

To explain the identity between stem vowels in (1b), we adopt Rose & Walker’s guttural transparency analysis (preceded in fact by Angoujard 1995): /shəb/ => [sahab]. The lack of syncope in /tɪ-səhib-u/ => [tɪ-sɪhib-u] (1b.ii) is explained following Buckley (2000): syncope is blocked if it results in misalignment between the left edge of the stem and the left edge of a syllable. While both [i] and [a] in this position would satisfy this requirement, [a] would violate the transparency requirement.

Since coalescence drives syncope in our account, there is no syncope in either [gɪrəfi] (1a.ii) or [səmiɿu] (1c.iii). It remains to be explained why there is no compliance with transparency in the latter. Based on distributional patterns, Lowenstamm & Prunet (1985) propose that [i] and /ə/ are the only headless vocalic expressions in Tigrinya. Assuming that only unheaded positions can syncopate, consider now a harmonized output to /səmi<sub>1</sub>ɿ<sub>2</sub>u/, namely \*[səmu<sub>1</sub>ɿu<sub>2</sub>]. In this output, [u<sub>1</sub>] is a copy of [u<sub>2</sub>]. In other words, there is a non-head in a governed position. One expects the position to syncopate, yet \*[səmfu] would be unfaithful to the underlying presence of /i<sub>1</sub>/. Thus, neither syncope nor harmony takes place.

Having covered the facts in an autosegmental account, we elaborate using the constraint ranking in (3). For an input with a sequence /əG/, keeping the vowel (or lowering it) constitutes a violation of an OCP requirement on lowness (candidates a,b). This requirement can be satisfied in three ways. The first, namely reducing the number of [low] features in the

<sup>1</sup> Data is based on fieldwork and Berhane (1990).

output (candidate c), violates  $\text{Max}_{[\text{low}]}$ . The second and third consist of letting the [low] feature of /ə/ be realized by the G and then either deleting the vowel (candidate d) replacing it with a featureless [i] (candidate e). The former solution violates the alignment of prefix and stem, and so it is candidate (e) that emerges as optimal.<sup>2</sup>

(3)	input: /tisə <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib/	$\text{Max}_{[\text{low}]}$	$\text{OCP}_{[\text{low}]}$	Align(syll,stem)	NoGov[ə/i]	*HiatusV <sub>i</sub> V <sub>j</sub>
Tigrinya	a. [tisa <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]		*!			*
	b. [tisə <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]		*!		*	*
	c. [tisa <sub>2</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]	*!				
	d. [tish <sub>12</sub> ib]			*!		
	☞ e. [tish <sub>12</sub> ib]				*	

We then expand our analysis to two other related facts. First, we consider /aɤ/ sequences (as opposed to the /əG/ sequences in (1)). These are of interest because /a/ is a headed vowel. Nevertheless, it does alternate with other vowels when governed: /mismaɤ/ => [mismaɤ] ‘hearing’, but /mismaɤ-u/ => [mismuɤu] ‘his hearing’ (e.g. [misbar-u] ‘his breaking’). We show that our account covers these facts, too, since /aG/ also corresponds to (2). Second, the closely-related language Tigre exhibits the same patterns as in (1), except that syncope is preferred over harmony in cases like (1b.iii): /t-səhīb/ => [ti-shīb], \*[tishīb]. A minimal difference in ranking between the alignment and syncope requirements (in special font) derives this difference, as in (4).

(4)	input: /tisə <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib/	$\text{Max}_{[\text{low}]}$	$\text{OCP}_{[\text{low}]}$	NoGov[ə/i]	Align(syll,stem)	*HiatusV <sub>i</sub> V <sub>j</sub>
Tigre	a. [tisa <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]		*!			*
	b. [tisə <sub>1</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]		*!	*		*
	d. [tisa <sub>2</sub> h <sub>2</sub> ib]	*!			*	
	☞ c. [tish <sub>12</sub> ib]				*	
	e. [tish <sub>12</sub> ib]			*!		

## Selected References

- Angoujard, Jean-Pierre. 1995. Quelques ‘Éléments’ pour la représentation des gutturales. *LOAPL* 5-6: 107-126.
- Berhane, Girmay. 1991. *Issues in the phonology and morphology of Tigrinya*. Dissertation, Université du Québec à Montréal.
- Buckley, Eugene. 2000. Alignment and weight in the Tigrinya verb stem. In Vicki Carstens and Frederick Parkinson (eds), *Advances in African linguistics*. (*Trends in African linguistics*, 4). Africa World Press, 165–176.
- Faust, Noam. 2017. Get that into your head: Tigre vowel harmonies as templatic. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics*, 2(1), 95.
- Lowenstamm, Jean, and Jean-François Prunet. 1985. On Certain Nominal Patterns in Tigrinya. *Proceedings of the 15th Conference on African Linguistics*. Foris, Dordrecht.
- Lowenstamm, Jean. 1996. CV as the only syllable type. In Durand, Jacques and Bernard Laks (eds.), *Current Trends in Phonology. Models and Methods*. Salford, Manchester: esri. 419–441.
- Rose, Rachel and Sharon Rose. 2015. Guttural Semi-Transparency. Handout of talk given at AMP 2015, Vancouver, October 10, 2015. Available online.

<sup>2</sup> NoGov[ə,i] is violated by governed nuclei containing these vowels. The constraints \*HiatusV<sub>i</sub>V<sub>j</sub>, which is not relevant for the form /tisəhīb/, is active in the computation of other forms.